

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/660,332	Applicant(s) CLARK ET AL.	
	Examiner Barbara Summons	Art Unit 2817	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowable

 (1) Barbara Summons.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. David R. Syrowik (Reg. No. 27,956).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 October 2004
**Time:** afternoon
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

89

Prior art documents discussed:

None


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**BARBARA SUMMONS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that the claims would be allowable, except claim 89 lacked antecedent basis for "the at least one projection" because some text from the previous version of the claim (see claim 62) appeared to have been omitted. It was agreed that the missing text would be inserted by the accompanying examiner's amendment..